Chapter 7

Conclusion

Services trade should be a major component of an EU–India FTA. Both parties have strong interest in the service sector and many barriers to mutual trade. If they achieve liberalisation, some benefits will probably spill over to other developing countries but especially some partners' gains in competitiveness will probably redound to excluded countries' long run disadvantage as Indian firms become established in the EU and difficult to displace. This will be more of a problem for middle-income than low-income countries, for most of the latter are not very plausible exporters in most tradable services anyway. Part of the effect may be felt via FDI, but the evidence of serious re-orientation of investment away from excluded countries is actually very weak. Finally, the chances of meaningful services liberalisation in the EU–India FTA are not particularly good.

Overall, the excluded countries should keep a watching brief on the EU–India talks and ask corresponding improvements in their own access to the partner markets. They should not presume, however, that they face a serious and pervasive disadvantage in services just because of the FTA.

Part III References

- Amin, Mohammad and Mattoo, Aaditya (2006). *Do Institutions Matter More for Services?* World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 4032, October.
- Arnold, Jens Matthias, Javorcik, Beata and Mattoo, Aaditya (2007). *The Productivity Effects of Services Liberalization: Evidence from the Czech Republic*, World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 4109.
- Arnold, Jens Matthias, Mattoo, Aaditya and Narciso, Gaia (2006). Services Inputs and Firm Productivity in Sub-Saharan Africa: Evidence from Firm-Level Data, World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 4038.
- Arnold, Jens Matthias, Javorcik, Beata, Lipscomb, Molly and Mattoo, Aaditya (2007). Services Reform and Manufacturing Performance: Evidence from India, World Bank, mimeo.
- Baldwin R.E., Forslid R. and Haaland, J. (1995). *Investment Creation and Investment Diversion: Simulation Analysis of the Single Market Programme*, Discussion Paper 1308, CEPR, London.
- Barrell, Ray and Pain, Nigel (1997). Foreign Direct Investment Technological Change and Economic Growth within Europe, The Economic Journal, Vol 107, November, pp. 1770–86.

- Blomstrom, Magnus and Kokko, Ari (1997). *Regional Integration and Foreign Direct Investment: A Conceptual Framework and Three Cases*, Policy Research Working Paper 1750, World Bank, International Trade Division, Washington, DC.
- Blomstrom, Magnus; Kokko, Ari and Globerman, Steven (1998). *Regional Economic Integration and Foreign Direct Investment: The North American Experience*, Stockholm School of Economics, Working Paper Series in Economics and Finance No. 269, October.
- Breshnan, T.F. and Reiss, P.C. (1993). *Measuring the Importance of Sunk Costs*, Annales d'economie et de statistique, No.31.
- Brenton, P. (1996). *The Impact of the Single Market on Foreign Direct Investment in the EU*, Report for DG II, mimeo.
- Brenton, Paul; Di Mauro, Francesca and Lucke, Matthias (1999). *Economic Integration* and FDI: An Empirical Analysis of Foreign Investment in the EU and in Central and Eastern Europe, Empirica, Vol. 26, No. 2, June: 95–121.
- Di Mauro, Francesca (2002). *The Impact of Economic Integration on FDI and Exports: A Gravity Approach*, Working Document No. 156, Centre for European Policy Studies.
- Ethier, W.J. (1998a). *Regionalism in a Multilateral World*, Journal of Political Economy 106 (6, December): 1214–45.
- Ethier, W.J. (1998b). The New Regionalism, The Economic Journal 108 (July): 1149-61.
- Feils, J. Dorothy and Rahman, Manzur (2008). Regional Economic Integration and Foreign Direct Investment: The Case of NAFTA, Management International Review, Vol. 48, No. 2, February.
- Fernandez, Raquel and Portes, Jonathan (1998). *Returns to Regionalism: An Analysis of Non-traditional Gains from Regional Trade Agreements*, World Bank Economic Review 12 (2 May): 197–220.
- Gao, Ting (2005). Foreign direct investment and growth under economic integration, Journal of International Economics, Vol. 67, Issue 1, September: 157–174.
- Gasiorek, Michael, Holmes, Peter, Robinson, Sherman, Rollo, Jim and Shingal, Anirudh (2007). *Qualitative Analysis of a Potential Free Trade Agreement between the European Union and India*, the European Commission and CARIS, Department of Economics, University of Sussex, UK, February.
- http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2007/june/tradoc_135101.pdf
- Gasiorek, Michael and Holmes, Peter (2008). *Globalization and Deep Integration*, CARIS, Department of Economics, University of Sussex, UK.
- Grant, R. (1983). The Impact of EEC Membership upon the UK Industrial Performance, in R. Jenkins (ed.), Britain and the ECC, Proceedings of Section F (Economics) of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, London: Macmillan.

- Hoekman, Bernard and Sauvé, Pierre (1994). *Regional and Multilateral Liberalization of Trade in Services: Complements or Substitutes?* Journal of Common Market Studies, 32, 283–317.
- Hoekman, Bernard (2006). *Liberalizing Trade in Services: A Survey*, World Bank Policy Research, Working Paper No. 4030.
- Joseph, K.J. and Harilal, K.N. (2001). *Structure and Growth of India's IT Exports: Implications of an Export-Oriented Growth Strategy*, Economic and Political Weekly, August 2001.
- Joseph, K.J. (2002). Growth of ICT and ICT for Development: Realities of the Myths of the Indian Experience, Discussion Paper No. 2002/78, UNU/WIDER Conference, 10–11 May 2002, Helsinki.
- Mattoo, Aaditya and Fink, Carsten (2002). *Regional Agreements and Trade in Services: Policy Issues*, World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 2852, June.
- Mattoo, Aaditya and Rathindran, Randeep (2005). *Does Health Insurance Impede Trade in Health Care Services?*, World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3667, July.
- Mayes, D.G. (1983). EC Trade Effects and Factor Mobility, in El-Agraa, A.M. (ed.) Britain Within the European Community: The Way Forward, London, Macmillan.
- McLaren, J. (1997). Size, Sunk Costs, and Judge Bowker's Objection to Free Trade, American Economic Review (June) 87:400–420.
- Motta, Massimo and Norman, George (1996). *Does Economic Integration Cause Foreign Direct Investment?* International Economic Review, Vol. 37, No. 4, Nov., pp. 757–83.
- O'Farrell, P.N. (1983). *Ireland,* in Klaassen, L.H. and Molle, W.T.M. (eds.) *Industrial Mobility and Migration in the European Community*, Aldershot, Gower.
- Pain, N. (1997). Continental Drift: European Integration and the Location of UK Foreign Direct Investment, The Manchester School Supplement, pp. 94–117.
- Pain, N. and Lansbury, M. (1997). Regional Economic Integration and Foreign Direct Investment: The Case of German Investment in Europe, National Institute Economic Review, No. 160, pp. 87–99.
- Roy, Martin, Marchetti, Juan and Lim, Hoe (2006). Services Liberalization in the New Generation of Preferential Trade Agreements: How Much Further than the GATS?, Staff Working paper ERSD-2006–07, WTO.
- Schiff, Maurice and Winters, L. Alan (2003). *Regional Integration and Development*, World Bank and Oxford University Press.
- Shingal, Anirudh. *Three Essays on Services: Exploring Tradability, Regional Trade and Government Procurement,* unpublished DPhil dissertation, University of Sussex, forthcoming.

- Stephenson, S.M. (2002). *Regional Versus Multilateral Liberalisation of Services*, World Trade Review, 1(2), 187–209.
- Tirole, J. (1998). The Theory of Industrial Organization, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Whinston, M.D. and Collins, S. (1990). Entry Contestability and Deregulated Airline Markets: An Event Study Analysis of People Express, Working Paper No. 33187, NBER, April 1990.
- Winters, L.A. (1996). *Lebanon's Euro-Mediterranean Agreement: Possible Dynamic Benefits*, paper prepared for Lebanese Center for Policy Studies' Conference on 'Lebanese-EU Relations', Beirut, July 1–2, mimeo.
- World Bank (2004). Sustaining India's Services Revolution: Access to Foreign Markets, Domestic Reforms and International Negotiations.'

Policy responses

This final part of the book deals briefly with policy responses – by individual excluded countries and by the multilateral system. With so many uncertainties about the FTA, and also about the extent of trade barriers and even trade in services, it is not feasible to identify specific sectors under threat. Moreover, even if one could, it is usually difficult to identify and justify appropriate interventions to support them. Hence the advice is generic and general – but no less valid for that. It is basically for policies that would, even in the absence of an FTA between the EU and India, boost an excluded country's growth and economic flexibility by encouraging adjustment and change.

Policy responses 109