Annex 1 Methodology

Methodology for themes

The HRU reviewed all UPR recommendations received by Commonwealth countries in 2008 and 2009 and categorised them into themes, where possible, or identified specific national cases. In total there were 111 themes and all are listed here for reference, with examples given for clarity where themes may be open to interpretation:

UPR recommendation/theme	Example/clarity
Anti-corruption	
African peer review mechanism	
Boat people/vulnerable groups	
Case of Teonea v Kaupule	
Caste	
Central Kalahari game reserve communities	
Child labour	
Child soldiers	
Children's rights	
Chittagong hill tracts accord	
Clemency petitions	
Climate change/environment	
Conflict resolution	
Constitutional reforms	
Consumer rights	
Corporal punishment	
Counter-terrorism and human rights	

Civil and political rights	Theme was used where recommendation referred generally to 'civil and political rights'.
CSOs	
Custom and human rights	e.g. 'Take more concrete measures with a view to fostering a genuine human rights culture with due regard to national and regional particularities as well as historical, cultural and religious backgrounds' (Islamic Republic of Iran during the UPR of Brunei Darussalam).
Death penalty	
Democratisation process	e.g. 'To continue the democratisation process on which it has embarked so courageously' (Holy See during the UPR of Tonga).
Detainee rights	
Disappearances	
Domestication	
Durban review conference	
Economic and financial crimes	e.g. Pursue its efforts in order to ensure the efficient working of the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (Côte d'Ivoire during the UPR of Nigeria).
Emergency regulations	e.g. 'As the nominal state of emergency has unintended consequences for government accountability, review it and produce a timetable on how it might be ended' (UK during the UPR of Brunei Darussalam).
Equality and non-discrimination	
Economic, social and cultural rights	Theme used where recommendation referred generally to 'economic, social and cultural rights'.
Extra-judicial killings	
Fair elections	

Fair trial	
Freedom of assembly/association	
Freedom of expression/opinion	
Freedom of movement	
Freedom of the press	
Freedom of religion	
Gender equality	
General	e.g. 'Continue its endeavours towards better serving its people by securing a higher standard of human rights' (Turkey during the UPR of Tonga).
Genocide	
HIV/AIDS	
HR and armed conflict	
HR education/awareness raising/training	
HR monitoring/OHCHR presence	
HRC	
Human Rights Defenders	
Humanitarian assistance	
ILO conventions	
Indigenous rights	
Internal Security Act	
Internally displaced persons	
International standards	e.g. 'Implement international human rights obligations within Federally Administered Tribal Areas and refrain from detention that contravenes international standards of due process' (Canada during the UPR of Pakistan).

Juvenile justice	
Labour rights/decent work	
Land rights	e.g. 'Provide access to land and support for the residents of the Reserve, as specified in the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, and work with the land boards of the various districts to ensure equity in land allocation' (Denmark during the UPR of Botswana).
Marriage rights	Including polygamy, forced marriage.
Millennium Development Goals	
Migrant rights	
Minority rights	
National action plan	
National institutions	
NHRIs	
Ombudsman	
Peace process	
Poverty reduction and eradication	
Prison conditions/standards	
Racism	
Ratifications	
Refugee/asylum seekers' rights	
Religious tolerance	
Representation of the People's Act	
Resources to address human rights	
Right to education	
Right to food	

Right to health	
Right to housing	
Right to information	
Right to life	
Right to property	e.g. 'Protect Mayan customary property rights in accordance with Mayan customary laws and land tenure in consultation with affected Mayar people of Toledo district' (Slovenia during the UPR of Belize).
Rights of persons with disabilities	
Rights of religious minorities	
Rights of senior citizens	
Rights of young people	
Rome statute	
Rule of law	
Safe drinking water and sanitation	facilities
Sectarian violence	
Sexual education	e.g. 'Formulate a national policy on sexual education' (Finland during the UPR of Malta).
Sexual orientation	e.g. 'Follow the Council of the European Union Asylum Qualification Directive in future cases with regard to sexual orientation as a ground fo asylum-seeking' (Canada during the UPR of UK)
Sexual offences	e.g. 'Strengthen enforcement legislation and programmes regarding prohibition of commercial sexual exploitation of children' (Philippines during the UPR of Canada).
Share experience	e.g. 'Share experience and efforts on religious harmony' (Sudan during the UPR of Cameroon).
Sharia law	
Special procedures	

State agents	
Technical assistance	e.g. 'Seek the support and advice of the various United Nations agencies in order to meet its international commitments in the protection and safeguarding of human rights' (Mexico during the UPR of The Bahamas).
Torture	
Tourism	e.g. 'Continue to reinforce its jurisdiction in relation to the human rights of consumers in general and more particularly as applicable to the tourism sector' (Morocco during the UPR of Malta).
Treaty bodies	
Treaty of Waitangi	
Tribal rights	
Turkish-Cypriot relations	
UN human rights mechanisms	
UPR follow-up	
Violence against women	Violence against women includes all recommendations pertaining to female genital mutilation, rape in marriage, domestic violence, rape, trafficking.
Victims support	
Vulnerable groups	e.g. 'Take measures to ensure access to humanitarian assistance for vulnerable populations and take further measures to protect civilians, including human rights defenders and humanitarian workers' (Ireland during the UPR of Sri Lanka).
Withdrawal of reservations	
Women's rights	
Yogyakarta principles	

Methodology for theme break-down

Every recommendation submitted to the state under review was analysed to identify the themes raised. Each recommendation did not necessarily equate to one theme, because in many instances recommendations received by the state under review relate to multiple themes. For example: Take measures to eliminate corporal punishment as a legitimate sanction in the law and to discourage its use in schools with a view to its eventual and total abolition, conduct public awareness initiatives to change people's attitudes to corporal punishment.

In this particular recommendation, two themes were identified: corporal punishment and awareness raising on the issue.

A total number of 111 themes were identified in this process. The table below displays the 111 themes against the 25 Commonwealth countries that underwent the UPR working group in 2008 and 2009. The table shows only the themes that were raised in the recommendations, but does not give a count of the number of times each theme was raised.

For the purposes of graphical representation, the 111 themes were grouped under eight headings, as follows:

- 1) International treaties and standards
- 2) National/international process and mechanisms
- 3) Specific national cases/national legal and constitutional concerns
- 4) Civil and political rights and freedoms
- 5) Economic, social and cultural rights and freedoms
- 6) Human rights principles
- 7) Special groups
- 8) Other

UPR recommendations – levels of action

In pursuing analytical research on the UPR, the Secretariat has found it useful to draw upon a ranking according to the level of action required by the recommendation in question. This method was developed by Professor Edward McMahon of the University of Vermont and UPR Info, an NGO based in Geneva. The methodology involves an assessment of the first verb and the overall action contained in the recommendation and ranks it on a scale from 1 (minimal action) to 5 (specific action). The following is taken from the UPR Info website:²⁷

²⁷ www.upr-info.org

	stilled stilled still	60	>	>) >)		>	~ >	,		V			•							Let ret 190
Anti-corruption	×	×		×		×				×					×							××	
APRM										×													
Boat people ∕ vulnerable groups												î	×										
Case of Teonea v Kaupule																				×			
Caste											×					×							
Central Kalahari Game Reserve Communities	S				×																		
Child labour		×			×	×							×										
Child soldiers												î						×					
Children's rights	×	×	×	×	Â	××	×		×	×	×	××	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Â	××	
Chittagong Hill Tracts Accord		×																					
Clemency petitions							×																
Climate change/environment		×							×						×			×		×	×		
Conflict resolution							×											×				×	
Constitutional reforms																			×				
Consumer rights																							
Corporal punishment	×	×	×	×	^ ×	××			×	×		××					×			×	2 x	×	
Counter terrorism and human rights							×							×		×		×			×		
CP rights	×	×	×	×	Â	××		×	×			×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×	2 x		
CSOS		×	×		×		×	×	×		×	Â	×	×	×	×		×	×	×	î	××	
Custom and HRs				×	××	×		×		×		××	×		×	×			×	×	î	××	
Death penalty	×	×	×	×	××	×	×		×	×		×	×		×	×			×		î	××	
Democratisation process																			×				
Detainee rights	×	×			×	×	×	×	×			Â X	××	×	×			×	×			××	
Disappearances	×	×	×	×	î	××	×	×	×		×	î	××	×		×	×	×			×		
Domestication	×	×	×		x	××	×		×	×		Â	××	×	×	×		×	×		Â	××	
Durban review conference							×							×						×			
Economic and financial crimes															×								
Emergency regulations					î													×					

X	4 SUL	UIEIEE	Sei Dei se	Sopeoned Sopeoned Sopeoned Seurened Seurened Seurened Seurened	~0_	elphinic	Snie Jule	tuelessnied isunita	Shind Shind	Elley Elley Shirt		10	elstelen	jen	PILE LE STRIJICA STRIJICA STRIJICA ELIS	Ves OIN	DUP SING	ESTUE USING	ESULUTION INS		nienni est	UODEUIS NIENUES NIENUES NIEN NIEN	DE TERES	40061,7300
Equality and non-discrimination	×		×	×		×	×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×	5		××			×	×	×	
ESC rights	×	×	×	×		××	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	^ ×	×	××	×		×	×		
Extra judicial killings																×		×						
Fair elections																								
Fair trial						×	•••					×				1	×							
Freedom of assembly/association					~	××	•					×									×			
Freedom of expression/opinion					×	×	•••					×		×		Â	×	×	×		×		×	
Freedom of movement								×																
Freedom of press					~	××	•					×		×	~•	×		×	×	~			×	
Freedom of religion		×			~	××						×				^ ×	×							
Fundamental freedoms																1	×		×	~				
Gender equality				×	×	××	×	×	×	×	×	×		×	x	^ ×	x	××	×	×	×	×	×	
General		×	×	×	××	×	×		×		×	×	×	×		Â	×	××	×	×	×	•		
Genocide					×	×	•								•	×								
HIV/AIDS	×		×	×	×	×	•		×	×					~•	×	1	××	~	×	~	×	×	
HR and armed conflict																		×	~		×			
HR education / training / awareness raising 1	×	×	×	×	×	××	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Â	×	×	×	×	~	×	×	
HR monitoring / OHCHR presence																		×	~					
HRC				•	×		×						×	×	×	1	×			×	~		×	
Human Rights Defenders		×				×	•					×				1	×	×	~					
Humanitarian assistance																		×	~					
ILO conventions		×			~	× v	×		×		×				×									
Indigenous rights	×	×		×	×	×	×		×			×			×	×								
Internal Security Act					×	~						×												
Internally displaced persons								×								1	×	×	~					
International standards				×	×	×	×		×				×	×	×	1	×	×	~		×			
Justice	×	×	×	×	×	××		×	×	×		×	×	×	×	x	x	××	×	×		×	×	
Juvenile justice	×	×			^	~	×		×				×	×	×	×					×	×	×	

	Solution Solution Sector Secto	UE TOLE	Sel Ele	SODEO'	×0_	eu ni	SSN OIS	tuelessnell isunia	Snin Snin S		DU	10	EISTERN	^ Q.	Shina	DIELES AND STUDIE	LIESSARE CILLE	estite the the the the the the the the the t			ALL NUT	OUI COULEN	HODEUN DETUN
Labour rights/decent work	×	×		×		×	×	×			~		×		×	×						×	×
Land rights					~	×								×	×	• .		×		×			
Marriage rights		×			×					×						×							
MDGs					××	×						×			×	• .						×	
Migrant rights		×	×		××		×	×	×			×	×	x	×		×	×			×	×	×
Minority rights		×		×	×	×				×		×	×	××	×	×		×					
NAP	×	×	×		×		×	×			×			××	×	×		×				×	
National institutions	×	×		×	×	×		×				×	×		×			×		×	×	×	
NHRIS	×	×	×	×	××	×		×	×	×		×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×			×
Ombudsman	×			×	×			×						×						×		×	
Peace process								×							×	• .							
Poverty reduction and eradication	×	×		×	××	×	×	×	×		×	×	1	×	×	×	×				×		
Prison conditions/standards	×	×	×		×	×								×	×	•			×		×	×	
Racism	×		×		××	×	×		×			×	×	×			×		×	×	×		
Ratifications	×	×	×	×	××	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		××	×	×	×		×	×	×	×	×
Refugee∕asylum seekers rights	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×			×	×	×		×	×			×	×		
Religious tolerance					×		×							×	×						×		
Representation of People's Act																						×	
Resources to address HRs					×	×		×	×	×			×		×								×
Right to education	×	×	×	~	××	×	×	×	×	×		×	×	××	×	×		×	×	×		×	×
Right to food		×														×							
Right to health	×	×		×	×	×	×		×	×		×	×	2 ×	××	×	×					×	~
Right to housing					×		×			×		×		î	×	×		×					
Right to information						×				×		×							×				×
Right to life													×										
Right to property	×			×	J.					×	×				×	×			×				×
Rights of persons with disabilities	×	×			×	×	×	×	×	×		×	×	××	×	• •			×	×		×	×
Rights of religious minorities								×							×	×							

x x		* 341	LE LE	Sell, EIG, A	SODED SODED	*0	euen 19	C YE	LUE RESS TIRC - BUTTING	Snid eperiod		EJUJ EJUJJ	-76	ESTERN	i ch	DUBERS NISHIN	Ves UIN	DUP EIJS		E 4467 1467 147 147 145		nienni ent		UDDE TRETUES	HODELIN DSHUP
X X							×			×															
x x										×									×						
x x	Rome Statute							×					×					×			×				
X X	Rule of law		×	×							×				×		×	×			×		×		
x x	Safe drinking water and sanitation facilities									×								×					×	•	
1 2	Sectarian violence	×															×								
8 8	Sexual education													×											
x x	Sexual orientation	×	×	×	×	×	×						×	×	×		×		×			Ç	×	×	
x x	Sexual offences	×	×	×		×	×						×		×	×		×	×			~	×	•	
x x	Share experience	×	×	×					×		×	×	×		×						×	î		~	
8 8	Sharia law							×					×				×								
** **<	Special procedures	×	×	×	×	×			×	×		×	×		×	×	×	×		1		~	×		
e ×	State agents	×	×	×	×	×							×	×	×		×	×		-				-	•••
** * ** **	Technical assistance	×	×	×	×	×			×	×					×		×					~		*	
Image: Sector	Torture	×	×	×	×	×						×	×	×	×		×	×				*	×		
x x	Tourism													×											
ations * <td>Treaty bodies</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>~</td> <td>*</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Treaty bodies	×	×	×	×	×						×	×	×	×	×	×	×				~	*		
ations * <td>Treaty of Waitangi</td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Treaty of Waitangi															×									
Iations Iations Is Is Is Is In DV, Rape, trafficking Is In DV, Rape, trafficking In S In S In S In DV, Rape, trafficking In S In S In S In DV, Rape, trafficking In S In S In S	Tribal rights															×		×							
15 1, DV, Rape, trafficking x x x x x 1, DV, Rape, trafficking x x x x x 1, DV, Rape, trafficking x x x x x x 1, DV, Rape, trafficking x x x x x x x 1, DV, Rape, trafficking x x x x x x x x x 1, DV, Rape, trafficking x	Turkish Cypriots relations								î																
1 1	UN HR mechanisms															×	×			×					
A, DV, Rape, trafficking × </td <td>UPR follow-up</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	UPR follow-up	×	×	×		×		×	1		1	×	×	×	×	×	×	×							
vations × </td <td>VAW: inc FGM, RIM, DV, Rape, trafficking</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	VAW: inc FGM, RIM, DV, Rape, trafficking	×	×	×	×	×		×					×	×	×	×	×	×	×						
vations × </td <td>Victims support</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>~</td> <td></td>	Victims support										×			×	×			×		×				~	
vations x </td <td>Vulnerable groups</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>*</td> <td></td>	Vulnerable groups	×	×		×						×			×			×			×				*	
× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	Withdrawl of reservations	×	×						×			×	×	×				×				î			
×	Women's rights	×	×	×	×	×					-	×		×	×	×	×	×						*	•••
	Yogyakarta Principles								×	×															

Categories

- 1. Recommendation directed at non-state under review states, or calling upon the state under review to request technical assistance or share information (example of verbs: call on, seek, share).
- 2. Recommendation emphasising continuity (example of verbs: continue, maintain, persevere, pursue).
- 3. Recommendation to consider change (example of verbs: analyse, consider, envisage envision, explore, reflect upon, revise, review, study).
- 4. Recommendation of action that contains a general element (example of verbs: accelerate, address, encourage, engage with, ensure, guarantee, intensify, promote, speed up, strengthen, take action, take measures or steps towards).
- 5. Recommendation of specific action (example of verbs: conduct, develop, eliminate, establish, investigate, undertake, as well as legal verbs: abolish, accede, adopt, amend. implement, enforce, ratify).

Principles

When there is an equal rationale for two different actions in a recommendation, emphasis is generally placed on the first one.

When a recommendation is starting with two verbs, the second one is taken into account.

Example: 'Continue and strengthen' – category 4.

When a recommendation starts with a general action, but then provides examples of specific actions, it is considered as category 5. Example: 'Improve women's rights by amending the family code'.

The Secretariat accepted this formulation for assessing UPR recommendations. These levels of action have been used by the HRU for all recommendations received by Commonwealth countries. Then we compared the levels against the responses the countries gave to those recommendations (accepted, rejected, pending/unclear, noted).

Responses to recommendations

The HRU also chose to look at the responses given by the state under review to the recommendations received. HRC Resolution 5/1 states that 'Recommendations that enjoy the support of the State concerned will be identified as such. Other recommendations, together with the comments of the State concerned thereon, will be noted.' Despite this provision, states' response to recommendations have not always been clear. In light of this, we have chosen to group responses as follows: accepted, rejected, noted/unclear, pending. The responses have been taken from statements made by the state under review during any stage of the UPR working group or in oral statements or written submissions made at the time of the UPR plenary session.

Accepted is used only where a state has given a clear indication of acceptance of a recommendation, including phrases such as 'accept', 'supports' and 'enjoy the support of'. An example of this is: *X* accepts all recommendations, except those mentioned in section *D*.

Rejected is given as a position where states have provided clear indications that a recommendation is not accepted, including phrases such as 'reject', 'not accepted' and 'does not enjoy the support of'. An example is: *X* does not accept the recommendation to consider ratifying the remaining two fundamental ILO conventions.

Noted/unclear is used to indicate where states have not offered a clear position of their response to recommendations or where the response given was ambiguous in terms of explicit acceptance or rejection. An example is: *X takes note of recommendations 12 and 13*.

Some states have chosen not to give an outright response of acceptance or rejection to a recommendation during any stage of the UPR working group, and instead state that the recommendation is noted/unclear. We do not interpret this recommendation to be accepted or rejected; instead, this is seen as no position being given and that the state in question is reserving judgement.

Pending is used where states have either not provided the HRC with an individual response to the recommendation in question.

As mentioned above, the responses given by states to recommendations are sometimes unclear and this topic has been the subject of much discussion, particularly in the runup to the review of the HRC and its mechanisms. Therefore, while the Secretariat follows the methodology above for determining a state's response to the recommendations received, the determination does not necessarily reflect the official position of individual states.

The HRU makes a determination of state responses from statements and written contributions made to the HRC, including the UPR working group, the UPR plenary session and addendum documents.