

Bibliography

AGOA website: <http://www.agoa.gov/>

- Agostino, MR, Aiello, F and Cardamone, P (2007). 'Analysing the Impact of Trade Preferences in Gravity Models: Does Aggregation Matter?', Working paper 07/4, TradeAg Project, <http://www.tradeag.eu>, accessed 24 November 2008.
- Aitken, ND (1973). 'The Effect of the EEC and EFTA on European Trade: A Temporal Cross-Section Analysis', *American Economic Review*, 63: 881–892.
- Alexandraki, K and Lankes, HP (2004). 'The Impact of Preference Erosion on Middle-Income Developing Countries', IMF Working Paper, WP/04/169.
- Anderson, J and van Wincoop, E (2004). 'Trade Costs', *Journal of Economic Literature*, 42 (3): 691–751.
- Bayoumi, T and Eichengreen, B (1995). 'Is Regionalism Simply a Diversion? Evidence from the Evolution of the EC and EFTA', NBER Working Paper No. 5238.
- Benedictis, L and Vicarelli, C (2004). 'Trade Potentials in Gravity Panel Data Models', *Topics in Economic Analysis and Policy*, 5(1): 1386–1417.
- Brada, JC and Mendez, JA (1985). 'Economic Integration Among Developed, Developing and Centrally Planned Economies: A Comparative Analysis', *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 67(4): 549–556.
- Brenton, P (2006). 'Enhancing Trade Preferences for LDCs: Reducing the Restrictiveness of Rules of Origin', in Newfarmer, R (ed.), *Trade, Doha, and Development: A Window into the Issues*, Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Brenton, P and Ikezuki, T (2005). 'The Value of Trade Preferences for Africa', Trade Note 21, World Bank, 16 May. Available at: <http://www.worldbank.org/tradem> accessed 17 November 2008.
- Brenton, P and Ikezuki, T (2006). 'The Value of Trade Preferences for Africa', in Newfarmer, R (ed.), *Trade, Doha, and Development: A Window into the Issues*, Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Bureau J-P, Jean, S and Mathews, A (2005). 'The Consequences of Agricultural Trade Liberalization for Developing Countries: Distinguishing Between Genuine and False Hopes', CEPII, Working Paper No. 2005-13.
- Candau, F and Jean, S (2009). 'What are European Union Trade Preferences Worth for Sub-Saharan African and Other Developing Countries', Chapter 3 in Hoekman *et al.* (2009), pp. 65–102.
- Collier, P and Venables, AJ (2007). 'Rethinking Trade Preferences: How Africa Can Diversify its Exports', *The World Economy*, 14(2): 1326–1345.
- COM (2005). 'The Rules of Origin in Preferential Trade Arrangements: Orientations for the Future', Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament and the European Economic and Social Committee, Commission of the European Communities, 100 Final, 16 March, Brussels.

- De Benedictis, L and Vicarelli, C (2004). 'Trade Potentials in Gravity Panel Data Models', *Topics in Economic Analysis and Policy*, Berkeley Electronic Press, vol. 5(1): 1386–1417.
- De Melo, J and Portugal-Pérez, A (2008). 'Rules of Origin, Preferences and Diversification in Apparel: African Exports to the US and to the EU', London: CEPR Discussion Paper No. DP7072.
- Dhar, S and Panagariya, A (1999). 'Is East Asia Less Open than North America and the EEC? No', in Piggott, J and Woodland, A (eds), *International Trade Policy and the Pacific Rim*, London: Macmillan.
- Egger, P (2002). 'An Econometric View on the Estimation of Gravity Models and the Calculation of Trade Potentials', *The World Economy*, 25: 297–312.
- Endoh, M (1999). 'Trade Creation and Trade Diversion in the EEC, the LAFTA and the CMEA: 1960–1994', *Applied Economics*, 31: 207–216.
- European Union (1999). 'An Analysis of Trends in the Lomé IV Trade Regime and the Consequences of Retaining It', Report CE/TFN/GCEC3/09-EN ACP/61/002/99.
- Falvey, R, La Chimia, A, Morrissey, O and Zgovu, E (2008). 'Competition Policy and Public Procurement in Developing Countries', University of Nottingham, School of Economics: CREDIT Research Paper 08/07.
- Feenstra, RC (2002). 'Border Effects and the Gravity Equation: Consistent Methods for Estimation', *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 49(5): 491–506.
- Finger, J, Ng, MF and Soloaga, I (1998). 'Trade Policies in the Caribbean Countries: A Look at the Positive Agenda', Caribbean Group for Cooperation on Economic Development, Washington, DC.
- François, J, Hoekman, B and Manchin, M (2005). 'Preference Erosion and Multilateral Trade Liberalisation', Working Paper Series, World Bank, WPS3730.
- Frankel, J, Stein, E and Wei, S (1995). 'Trade Blocs and the Americas: The Natural and Unnatural and the Supernatural', *Journal of Development Economics*, 47: 61–95.
- Frankel, J and Wei, SJ (1993). 'Trading Blocs and Currency Blocs', NBER Working Paper, No. 4335.
- Frazer, G and Van Biesebroeck, J (2007). 'Trade Growth Under the African Growth and Opportunity Act', Cambridge MA: NBER Working Paper Series 13222.
- Gamberoni, E, (2007). 'Do Unilateral Trade Preferences Help Export Diversification? An Investigation of the Impact of European Unilateral Trade Preferences on the Extensive and Intensive Margin of Trade', HEI Working Paper, 17/2007.
- Greenaway, D (2000). 'Multilateralism, Minilateralism and Trade Expansion', in Das, D (ed.), *Asian Exports*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Greenaway, D and Milner, CR (2002). 'Regionalism and Gravity', *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 49: 574–85.
- Grimwade, N (2000). *International Trade: New Patterns of Trade, Production and Investment*, 2nd edition, Routledge, London.
- Gros, D and Gonciarz, A (1996). 'A Note on the Trade Potential of Central and Eastern Europe', *European Journal of Political Economy*, 12(4): 709–721.

- Hassan, MK (2001). 'Is SAARC a Viable Economic Block? Evidence from Gravity Model', *Journal of Asian Economics*, Vol. 12 (2), pp. 263–290.
- Hoekman, B, Martin, W and Primo-Braga, C (2009). *Trade Preference Erosion: Measurement and Policy Response*, Palgrave Macmillan for the World Bank.
- Hoekman, B, Michalopoulos, C and Winters, AL (2004). 'Differential and More Favorable Treatment, Reciprocity and Fuller Participation of Developing Countries: Objectives, Instruments and Options for the WTO', *World Economy*, 27: 481–506.
- Hoekman, B, Ng, F and Olarreaga, M (2001). Eliminating Excessive Tariffs on Exports of Least Developed Countries, Working Paper No. 2604, Washington DC: World Bank.
- Hoekman, B and Özden, C (2005). 'Trade Preferences and Differential Treatment of Developing Countries: A Selective Survey', World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3566.
- Hummels, D (2001). 'Time as a Trade Barrier', Source (accessed 24 February 2006): <http://www.mgmt.purdue.edu/faculty/hummelsd/research/time3b.pdf>
- Ianchovichina, E, Mattoo, A and Olarreaga, M (2002). 'Unrestricted Market Access for Sub-Saharan Africa: How Much is it Worth and Who Pays?', *Journal of African Economies*, 10(4): 410–432.
- Inama, S (2003). 'Trade Preferences and the World Trade Organization Negotiations on Market Access: Battling for Compensation of Erosion of GSP, ACP and Other Trade Preferences or Assessing and Improving their Utilization and Value by Addressing Rules of Origin and Graduation', *Journal of World Trade*, 37(5): 959–976.
- Karingi, SK, Perez, R and Hammouda, HB (2007). 'Could Extended Preferences Reward Sub-Saharan Africa's Participation in the Doha Round Negotiations?', *The World Economy*, 30(3): 383–404.
- Langhammer, R and Sapir, A (1987). 'Economic Impact of Generalised Tariff Preferences', Thames Essays, No. 49, Grower for the Trade Policy Research Centre, London.
- Low, P, Piermartini, R and Richtering, J (2005). 'Multilateral Solutions to the Erosion of Non-Reciprocal Preferences in NAMA', Working Paper, ERSD-2005-05, Geneva: World Trade Organization.
- Low, P, Piermartini, R and Richtering, J (2006). 'Non-Reciprocal Preference Erosion Arising from MFN Liberalisation in Agriculture: What are the Risks?', Working Paper, ERSD-2006-02, Geneva: World Trade Organization.
- Manchin, M (2005). 'Preference Utilization and Tariff Reduction in European Union Imports from Africa, Caribbean, and Pacific Countries', World Bank Policy Research Working Paper, No. 3688.
- Mattoo, A and Subramanian, A (2004). 'The WTO and the Poorest Countries: The Stark Reality', IMF Working Paper, WP/04/81.
- McCallum, J (1995). 'National Borders Matter: Canada-US Regional Trade Patterns', *American Economic Review*, 85, pp. 615–23.
- Milner, C (2007). *Trading on Commonwealth Ties: A Review of the Structure of*

- Commonwealth Trade and the Scope for developing Linkages and Trade in the Commonwealth*, Final Report to Commonwealth Secretariat, London, November.
- Milner, C, Morgan, W and Zgovu, E (2004). 'Would All ACP Sugar Protocol Exporters Lose from Sugar Liberalisation?', *The European Journal of Development Research*, 16, Issue 4: 790–808.
- Milner, C, Morrissey, O and Zgovu, E (2007). 'Adjusting to Bilateral Liberalisation Under an EPA: Evidence for Mauritius', University of Nottingham, School of Economics: CREDIT Research Paper 07/11.
- Milner, C, Morrissey, O and Zgovu, E (2008). 'Trade Facilitation in Developing Countries', University of Nottingham, School of Economics: CREDIT Research Paper 08/05.
- Mold, A, (2005). 'Trade Preferences and Africa: The State of Play and the Issues at Stake', Work in Progress, No. 12, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: African Trade Policy Centre, Economic Commission for Africa.
- Morrissey, O (2008). 'Investment Provisions in Regional Integration Agreements for Developing Countries', University of Nottingham, School of Economics: CREDIT Research Paper 08/06.
- Morrissey, O and Zgovu, E (2007). 'The Impact of Economic Partnership Agreements on ACP Agriculture Imports and Welfare', University of Nottingham, School of Economics: CREDIT Research Paper 07/09.
- Nilsson, L (2002). 'Trade Relations: Is the Roadmap from Lomé to Cotonou Correct?', *Applied Economics*, 34: 439–52.
- OECD (2004). 'Assessment of Utilization and Motive for Under-Utilization of Preferences in Selected Least Developed Countries', 21–22 October, Joint Working Party on Agricultural and trade, COM/AGR/TD/WP(2004)12/REV2
- Ozden, C and Olarreaga, M (2005). 'AGOA and Apparel: Who Captures the Tariff Rent in the Presence of Preferential Market Access?', *World Economy*, 28: 63–77.
- Péridy, N (2005). 'The Trade Effects of the Euro-Mediterranean Partnership: What Are the Lessons for ASEAN Countries?', *Journal of Asian Economics*, 16(1): 125–139.
- Persson, M and Wilhelmsson, F (2006). 'Assessing the Effects of EU Trade Preferences for Developing Countries', mimeo, Department of Economics, University of Lund.
- Rose, A (2004). 'Do We Really Know That the WTO Increases Trade?', *American Economic Review*, 94: 98–114.
- Sapir, A (1981). 'Trade Benefits under the EEC Generalized System of Preferences', *European Economic Review*, 15(3): 339–355.
- Sapir, A (2001). 'Domino Effects in Western European Trade, 1960–92', *European Journal of Political Economy*, 17: 377–388.
- Sharma, SC and Chua, SY (2000). 'ASEAN Economic Integration and Intra-Regional Trade', *Applied Economic Letters*, 17: 165–69.
- Soloaga, I and Winters, LA (2001). 'Regionalism in the Nineties: What Effect on Trade?', *North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 12(1): 1–29.
- Stevens, C and Kennan, J (2004a). 'Making Trade Preferences More Effective', IDS.

- Stevens, C and Kennan, J (2004b). 'Comparative Study of G8 Preferential Access Schemes for Africa', Report to DFID, IDS.
- Tadesse, B and Fayissa, B (2008). 'The Impact of AGOA on US Imports from Sub-Saharan Africa', *Journal of International Development*, 20(7): 920–941.
- Tangermann, S (2002). 'The Future of Preferential Trade Arrangements for Developing Countries and the Current Round of WTO Negotiations on Agriculture', Rome: FAO.
- Tinbergen, J (1962). *Shaping the World Economy*, New York: Twentieth Century Fund.
- UNCTAD (2004). *The Least Developed Countries Report 2004 – Linking International Trade with Poverty Reduction*, Geneva.
- Wilson, JS, Mann, CL and Otsuki, T (2003). 'Assessing the Potential Benefits of Trade Facilitation: A Global Perspective', World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 3224.
- Yeats, A (1995). 'What are OECD Trade Preferences Worth to Sub-Saharan Africa?', *African Studies Review*, 38(1), 81–101.
- Zarzoso, IM (2003). 'Gravity Model: An Application to Trade between Regional Blocs', *Atlantic Economic Journal*, 31(2): 174–187.