

Commonwealth Secretariat

Copyright in the developing countries

Second Edition

COPYRIGHT

IN THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

SECOND EDITION

COMMONWEALTH SECRETARIAT Marlborough House Pall Mall London SW1Y 5HX

First published 1974 Second Edition 1976

Copyright @Commonwealth Secretariat 1974, 1976

1SBN 0 85092 106 6 (2nd edition) 0 85092 074 4 (1st edition)

Governments of developing Commonwealth countries wishing to reproduce the material in this book in whole or in part in any language should inform the Commonwealth Secretariat which may be able to offer them some assistance in doing so.

Copies may also be purchased from the Publications Section of the Commonwealth Secretariat.

Published and printed by the Commonwealth Secretariat

INTRODUCTION

This short guide to the basic principles and workings of copyright, and to the relationship between author and publisher, has been compiled primarily from the point of view of the needs and aspirations of authors and publishers in developing countries.

It began as a working paper presented by the Commonwealth Secretariat at the Commonwealth Asia-Pacific Regional Seminar on 'Priorities and Planning for the Provision of Books' in Delhi in February 1973. The Seminar recommended that the paper deserved as wide an audience as possible by being published as a booklet, and made suggestions for additional material to be incorporated. This was done, and the first edition was published in 1974. Since then it has been necessary to make further changes and this, the second edition, should be looked upon as a replacement for the first.

The Commonwealth Secretariat is most grateful to all who have helped in the preparation of this book. Particular thanks are due to Martin Ballard, A. J. Brooks and Frank Knox for their contributions to the original working paper, to Ronald Barker (Secretary of the British Publishers' Association), S. Gopinathan, Alexis Koutchoumow (Secretary-General of the International Publishers' Association), Marie-Claude Dock (Director, Copyright Division, Unesco), Jose Miguel de Azaola (Chief, International Copyright Information Centre, Unesco), and the late T. S. Krishnamurti (World Intellectual Property Organization) for their comments and suggestions, and to Pamela Macpherson who prepared this edition.

PART ONE

QUESTIONS OF COPYRIGHT

What is copyright?

The concept behind copyright is that the creators of literary and artistic works have rights of ownership in their works, and, further, that those rights should be afforded legal protection in order to prevent unauthorized appropriation. As the Universal Declaration of Human Rights says, 'Everyone has the right to the protection of the moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary or artistic production of which he is the author'. It does not matter whether he works in a developed or a developing country: he needs such protection because without it he is in danger of losing his livelihood.

So as to enable a work to be made available to a wide public, the person originating it often authorizes other people to publish it, translate it, adapt it, broadcast it, communicate it to the public, or reproduce it. In other words he assigns to people of his choice some of the rights he possesses in his work.

How is copyright protected?

Most countries have copyright laws which protect works originating within their own country against 'piracy'. Such laws, however, are not effective in other countries, and it is only through international agreements that protection in foreign countries is guaranteed. The best known international copyright agreements are the Berne Union and the Universal Copyright Convention.

The Berne Union The Berne Copyright Union was founded in 1886 and the countries belonging to it undertake to grant reciprocal protection to each other's works, in effect assimilating to the national repertoire, and protecting according to the same principles, works of which another country of the Union is the country of origin. No formalities have to be completed before protection is granted.

Universal Copyright Convention Adopted at Geneva in 1952, the Universal Copyright Convention (often abbreviated to UCC) recognizes the principle that a work by a foreign author enjoys the same protection as a work of an author who is a national of a state. It provides for the simplification of formalities which, if required by the domestic law of a contracting state, are regarded as satisfied if, when a work is first published, all copies bear the symbol © followed by the name of the copyright owner and the year of first publication. It also introduces special provisions governing translation rights.

The states that have ratified or acceded to the Berne and/or Universal Conventions are listed in Appendix A. States that are party to the Berne Convention are required to provide for a higher degree of protection. Relationships between states that are party to both Conventions are governed by the provisions of the Berne Convention rather than the UCC.

Countries that have ratified or acceded to either Convention have an obligation to keep their national copyright laws in line with the terms of the Convention to which they have become bound. Within these broad guidelines, however, it is possible for individual nations to work out their own solutions to specific issues, such as permissible photocopying practices.

Why is copyright protection necessary?

It is generally considered proper that authors should have a reasonable return for their work, in keeping with other originators. Such evidence as has been gathered shows that - apart from a tiny number of 'bestselling' authors - most authors receive little enough reward for their work, even with copyright protection.

It is also in the <u>public</u> interest that authors' rights should be protected. Without copyright protection, authors would be reluctant to engage in the exacting work of writing with no reasonable hope of financial reward. Publishers would also find it impractical to produce and disseminate literary works if unauthorized, competing reproductions, carrying none of the financial overheads of the original publication, could appear unrestricted on any market.

What rights are protected?

Authors and other copyright proprietors can, in many countries, control the following rights in their works:

- (a) reproducing the work in any material form including recording and filming;
- (b) publishing the work;
- (c) performing the work in public;
- (d) broadcasting the work;
- (e) causing the work to be transmitted to subscribers to a diffusion service:
- (f) making any adaptation, translation or arrangement of the work.

Most often an author works through a publisher or an agent who usually carries out negotiations on his behalf.

Under the Berne Convention, literary works remain in copyright for 50 years after the death of the author. Under the UCC the minimum term of protection is 25 years counted either from the author's death or from first publication depending on a particular country's domestic law.

What educational problems did the Conventions pose for the developing countries?

During the 1960s many countries embarked upon wide-ranging educational programmes. It was then found that problems existed, particularly in the provision of advanced books for university and other forms of higher education. The rights in these books were generally controlled by publishers in developed countries who, at least in the first instance, produced editions primarily geared to the needs and purchasing power of their home market.

Representatives of developing countries argued that it was wrong for educational programmes to be hampered by copyright restrictions. The copyright owners countered by saying that it was not right to deprive an author of his return just because his work was educational in content, and that to do so would inhibit educational writing. Moreover, the world market for tertiary textbooks was often small, and most developing countries needed too few copies to justify local production of a separate edition. Since both sides had strong arguments, it was manifestly an issue for negotiation and compromise.

What was done about this situtation?

At the two meetings of international copyright experts convened at Brazzaville in 1963 and at Geneva in 1964, representatives of the newly independent African countries pressed for revision of copyright laws to enable an author to be obliged to release his works for educational purposes, subject to reasonable financial provision being made.

What was the 'Stockholm Protocol'?

A Study Group, composed of representatives of the Swedish Government and the Bureau of the Berne Union, noting the points made at the two earlier meetings (Brazzaville, 1963 and Geneva, 1964), and aware of the attitudes and aspirations of many African and Asian countries, proposed the inclusion in the Berne Convention of a new Article which would enable developing countries to take advantage of certain privileges. At the International Copyright Conferences held in Stockholm in 1967 these privileges or provisions were put forward and agreed upon in the form of a Protocol to be annexed to the Convention. Among these provisions was the waiving of copyright restrictions in respect of works required 'exclusively for teaching, study and research in all fields of education' provided that the author was assured of 'a compensation which conforms to standards of payment made to national authors'. It also permitted such editions to be exported between developing countries. In the event none of the major publishing countries acceded to the Stockholm Act which, as the Protocol could not be applied unilaterally by a developing country, was therefore rendered virtually unworkable.

What were the next steps?

Countries with major book industries were still concerned to identify and meet the needs of developing countries, as long as there was no undermining of the foundation of the structure of the international copyright system - the author's exclusive right to

ownership of his work. In 1969 a Joint Study Group consisting of representatives of member states of UCC and the Berne Union suggested certain relaxations of the Berne and Universal Conventions, and looked forward to parallel and concurrent revisions of both instruments. The recommendations of the Group were then considered at various international meetings leading up to the revision conferences in Paris in 1971.

What were the Paris negotiations of 1971?

For three weeks in July 1971 representatives of the Berne and UCC countries met in parallel sessions in Paris to thrash out the problems. The discussions concerned generally those literary, scientific or artistic works published in printed or analogous forms of reproduction that are used 'for teaching, scholarship or research' or 'for use in connection with systematic instructional activities'. The final agreement, applicable under both Conventions, laid down the terms under which either the state or a private publisher in a developing country could get a compulsory licence to reproduce a protected work locally, either in the original language or in translation. Developing countries could take advantage of these facilities in regard to translation, to reproduction, or to both.

In practice, should the occasion arise, the first step in trying to obtain a compulsory licence should be to approach the Ministry responsible for copyright in the applicant's country. This is frequently the Ministry of Education or of Information.

How can a copyright proprietor avoid compulsory licensing?

It was agreed that there would be no case for compulsory licensing if a copyright proprietor made freely available a translation or reprint of his book 'at a price reasonably related to that normally charged (in the developing country concerned) for comparable works'. Furthermore the copyright owner was given a period in which to make such editions available, or to grant his own licence to publish locally.

After what period, under the Paris revisions, can compulsory licences be sought for translations?

Under the Berne Convention, the translation right is protected for the life of the author and fifty years after his death. There

was, however, the so-called 'ten-year rule', an involved provision relating to translation rights, and limited in application to only certain Berne member states. There was a comparable UCC provision which, basically, gave the copyright owner a period of seven years in which to bring out a translation of his work before compulsory licensing could be invoked. So far as is known, however, no such compulsory licences were sought or issued by anyone. At Paris in 1971, it was decided to have parallel revisions of the Universal and Berne Conventions to make a limited compulsory licensing system available for the benefit of developing countries with respect to translation. The licences may be granted only if freely negotiated licences have not been possible. Also the use of material so published is restricted to teaching, scholarship or research. Copies produced under a compulsory licence may not be exported except in certain special cases. Licences may only be granted with regard to works currently available to the public, and not withdrawn from circulation by the author. The Conventions provide for three categories, as follows:

- (a) for non-world languages (i.e., languages not in general use in one or more developed countries) a non-exclusive licence may be sought one year after first publication. The licence may not be obtained, however, until a further period of nine months has elapsed from the time of the request. During this grace period of nine months, the copyright owner can himself bring out or authorize the translation sought. If this is done at a price reasonably related to that normally charged in the developing country for comparable works, no compulsory licence may be obtained.
- (b) for translation into English, French and Spanish, licences may be obtained after three years, plus a six-month grace period from the time of the request.
- (c) for other languages in general use (i.e. languages in use in one or more developed countries) the periods in (b) apply, with the proviso that if a developing country is able to secure the unanimous agreement of developed countries in which the same language is in general use, then that country may, with the developed countries' consent, issue compulsory licences after a shorter period than

three years (but never less than one year). This would mean, for instance, that Brazil would need to get permission from Portugal before applying compulsory licensing within the shorter period.

After what period, under the Paris revisions, can compulsory licences be sought for same language reprints?

In general, provisions for compulsory licences for reprints in the original language follow the overall pattern of provisions for translations. There are, however, considerable differences in time-factors. Unless national legislation determines a longer period, compulsory licences for same language reprints could be granted after the following periods:

- (a) works of the natural and physical sciences, including mathematics, and technology: three years
- (b) works of fiction, poetry, drama, music and art books: seven years
- (c) all other works: five years.

Licences obtainable after three years cannot be granted until a period of six months has elapsed from the date of the request for authorization or, if the identity or address of the copyright owner is unknown, from the date of the application for a licence, as the case may be. However, this period may be concurrent with the three year period and not consecutive as in the case of translation. This 'period of grace' nevertheless gives the copyright proprietor a certain time in which to make available in the country an edition published at a price reasonably related to that normally charged there for comparable works.

What limitations are placed on publication under compulsory licence?

It is fundamental to the Paris agreement that concessions are made for educational need and not for private or national profit. Books produced under compulsory licence must therefore be printed within the developing country itself unless facilities are unavailable, in which case they must be printed in another Berne or UCC country. In this case, all copies would have to be brought into the licensing country. No copies of the book may be

exported, except in certain special cases relating to translations. In the case of developing countries which use the same language, a new translation may be used simultaneously by more than one country granting compulsory licences.

What compensation will be paid to the copyright holder?

It was agreed that all compulsory licences should carry an obligation for the payment of a 'just compensation that is consistent with standards of royalties normally operating in the case of licences freely negotiated between persons in the two countries concerned'. Often it will be found that royalties will be highest for straight reprints and less for translations.

Will compulsory licensing become common practice?

It is to be noted that a compulsory licence may not be granted until a request for a voluntary licence has been refused by the copyright proprietor. It is to be expected, therefore, that compulsory licensing will be very rare, and the Paris revisions will probably be used by publishers mostly as basis for negotiation for voluntary licences. Copyright proprietors are obviously reluctant to grant voluntary licences in cases where double taxation is levied. This occurs where royalties are subject to taxation not only in the author's country of residence but again in the licensing country. It is generally felt that voluntary licensing would be greatly facilitated, to the benefit of all interested parties, if this difficulty could be resolved.

Have the Berne and Universal Conventions as revised at Paris 1971 come into force?

The UCC as revised at Paris on 24 July 1971, having received the required number of ratifications or accessions, entered into force on 10 July 1974. Similarly, the Paris Act of the Berne Convention did so on 10 October 1974. In principle, compulsory licensing is applicable only between states which are party to the revised UCC of 1971 or the Paris Act of the Berne Convention.

By 1 January 1976 the following states had ratified or acceded to the revised UCC of 1971: Algeria, Bangladesh, Brazil, Bulgaria, Cameroon, France, Germany (Federal Republic of), Hungary, Kenya, Mexico, Monaco, Morocco, Norway, Senegal, Spain, Sweden, Tunisia, United Kingdom, United States of

America, and Yugoslavia.

By the same date the following states had become bound by the Paris Act of the Berne Union: Bulgaria, Cameroon, Chile, Dahomey, France, Gabon, Germany (Federal Republic of), Greece, Hungary, Ivory Coast, Mexico, Monaco, Niger, Senegal, Spain, Sweden, Togo, Tunisia, Upper Volta, Yugoslavia, and Zaire.

What procedures must the publisher in a developing country follow when seeking translation or reprint rights?

First, the applicant must establish either that he has requested, and has been denied, authorization by the copyright proprietor to translate or reproduce (as the case may be), or that after due diligence on his part he was unable to find the copyright proprietor.

Where the owner cannot be found, the applicant must send copies of his application, by registered airmail, to the following:

- (i) the publisher whose name appears on the work; and
- (ii) the appropriate national or regional information centre (see Appendix B) or, if there is none, to the International Copyright Information Centre established at Unesco.

If a request is refused, or if no reply is received, and the time periods noted above have already lapsed, the publisher may immediately apply to his own government for compulsory licensing.

What rules govern the reproduction of illustrations for voluntary licences?

In many cases the original publisher may not control the copyright in the illustrative material in his book and permission to use these illustrations must be negotiated separately. A list of the copyright holders will normally be found under 'Acknowledgements'. The original publisher should be prepared to give assistance in clearing rights on illustrations for books reproduced under voluntary licence.

What rules govern the reproduction of illustrations for compulsory licences?

When the owner of the rights in illustrations contained in a book is not the same as the owner of the rights in the book itself, a publisher in a developing country who has been granted a compulsory licence to reproduce such a book must apply separately for permission to reproduce the illustrations. Where permission is refused, reproduction may be undertaken, but relevant national and Convention conditions must be satisfied (e.g. making 'just compensation'). Where a work consists mainly of illustrations a compulsory licence to translate the text may be granted only if conditions governing same language reprints are satisfied.

How long do illustrations in a book remain in copyright?

Illustrations other than photographs usually have the same term of protection as literary works.

What is the situation with regard to photographs in a book for which the author or the original publisher does not control the copyright?

The Berne Convention provides that it shall be a matter for legislation in the countries of the Berne Union to determine the term of protection of photographic works, but this term is to last at least until the end of 25 years from the making of the work. The corresponding UCC minimum is 10 years.

Under the UK Copyright Act of 1956, copyright in a photograph lasts for 50 years from the end of the calendar year in which it was first published in any form. Strictly the copyright is vested in the individual who took the photograph or in the person or organization to whom he has assigned the copyright. Institutions, museums, etc., to whom collections of photographs are donated or bequeathed are therefore wise to ensure that they are given also the rights of reproduction.

What rules apply to multi-media kits?

Increasingly, educational material is being produced in the form of multi-media kits consisting of a variety of print and non-print materials. Film-strips, microfilms and slides generally pose few problems as they are treated in the same way as photographs.

Where movie-film and similar (e.g. video-tape) rights are concerned, the rights to authorship vary from country to country but the right to exploitation is usually held by the producer. In regard to radio and TV broadcasts, the originating organization is usually the owner of the rights in a programme. However, the organization has to take account of the rights of contributors (e.g. authors, composers and performers), and it may have to negotiate special terms with these and other people before a programme can be released for use in another country. Thus for broadcasts, and also for recordings, the rights of a number of people have to be respected and protected, not only the rights of the author as in the case of a book.

What rules govern copying of copyright material?

Reference must be made to domestic law, but two guidelines may be offered:

- 1) No infringement of copyright is generally considered to be committed unless a 'substantial part' of the work is involved. ('Substantial' consists of the relationship of the quotation to the length of the work and equally of the importance of the extract or extracts to the work as a whole.) Where there is any doubt at all on these matters, permission should be sought from the copyright holder. However, as the amount of protection is governed by the laws of the country where protection is claimed, national laws must always be examined.
- 2) Copying even of a substantial part of the work may be permissible as 'fair dealing' when:
 - (a) it is for the purpose of research or private study;
 - (b) it is for the purpose of published criticism or a review.

Both Conventions permit domestic legislation to vary the protection offered, provided it is reasonable and justified in the circumstances. However, it must be noted that the Conventions specifically prohibit exceptions which might conflict with the author's economic interests.

What about photocopying?

Here again reference must be made to the domestic laws of each country. Under the Berne Convention and the revised Universal Convention, provision must be made in domestic laws for the author's exclusive right to authorize reproduction of his work by any means, but both empower member states to permit reasonable exceptions to this right.

The 1971 Paris Act of the Berne Convention further states in its Report: 'If (photocopying) consists of producing a very large number of copies, it may not be permitted, as it conflicts with a normal exploitation of the work... If a small number of copies is made, photocopying may be permitted without payment, particularly for individual or scientific use'.

The question is being studied further as to what might constitute a reasonable solution, bearing in mind that indiscriminate photocopying practices might not only endanger authors' interests, but might also ultimately deter the production of intellectual works.

What developments are likely to come next?

In the years ahead the output of literary and artistic works in developing countries is likely to grow rapidly. There will be more books, translations, films and such-like, and where up-to-date copyright laws do not already exist they will increasingly be required. To help in this matter, UNESCO and the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) have prepared a draft model law on copyright which all developing countries will find useful. The text of the model law on copyright was finalized by a Committee of Governmental Experts convened by the Government of Tunisia in February 1976.

The rise in the output of publications in developing countries will be accompanied by increased opportunities for exporting them. Consequently, more and more states are likely to find it advantageous to join the international copyright community, thereby protecting the rights of those citizens whose work is able to earn valuable foreign currency. In addition, more copyright clearing centres are likely to be established to help people locate copyright owners and obtain copyright clearance. (A list of the clearing houses existing in January 1976 appears as Appendix B.)

One of the areas of most concern is likely to be that of the best means of protecting the rights of those people whose material is photocopied for educational purposes. Another, in some countries, will be that of levying a small charge on library borrowing to recompense authors whose works are borrowed frequently.

In schools there will be greater use of multi-media kits. At present the rights in the print and non-print materials that make up these kits are not always the same so that if a kit were unlawfully reproduced there would probably be several separate acts of infringement.

For several reasons, developing countries will want to produce more local editions of titles originally published in developed countries, and UNESCO has suggested that: 'Whenever it is possible to launch a local edition on the market of a developing country at a selling price equal or less than that of an edition of the same work published in another country, either by means of co-production agreements or by virtue of an authorization to reproduce, this procedure should be preferred to any other for the dissemination of the said work in the territory of the developing country concerned.'

As has been shown (see page 9), the Paris revisions of the Berne and Universal Conventions represented a compromise of the views expressed by developed and developing countries. It was the best arrangement that could be made at the time. Possibly, further meetings will be held at which modifications to the Conventions will be considered - as, for example, to the waiting period stipulated for the compulsory licensing of technical publications which quickly go out of date.

PART TWO

PUBLISHING AGREEMENTS

In a royalty agreement between author and publisher what rights are usually controlled by the publisher?

- (a) The exclusive right, during legal term of copyright or such other term as shall be agreed, of producing, publishing and selling the work in volume form in the original language throughout the territory determined with the author.
- (b) Book club, cheap edition or paperback rights leased to another publisher within the country or territory determined, on which sales he will pay the author an agreed percentage of his receipts.
- (c) Anthology quotation rights, digest and condensation rights, one-shot periodical or newspaper rights, strip cartoon rights, mechanical reproduction rights; the proceeds from which he will share with the author.

These rights are known as 'volume rights'.

What other rights need to be considered?

- (a) Foreign rights (i.e. the right to publish the work in a country or territory outside that normally covered by the publisher).
- (b) Translation rights.
- (c) Serial rights.
- (d) Film, broadcasting and television rights.
- (e) Advertising or other commercial use of the work or material based on the work.

These rights are known as 'subsidiary rights', and are usually handled by the publisher on behalf of the author, the publisher retaining an agreed percentage of the proceeds from their sale.

Further, in countries where more than one language is used in the education system, it is the usual practice for the publisher automatically to have first option on the translation rights in all the languages involved, either to publish himself or in conjunction with a publisher whose expertise is in a particular language.

Are there accepted or standard royalties for particular kinds of book?

Not usually, though 10% of the published or selling price of the book is often suggested as a 'normal' figure, except in the case of heavily illustrated books, workbooks, some primary textbooks, translations and adaptations. Royalty payments are calculated as a percentage either of the selling price of the book or of the net receipts from sales, and are normally paid once or twice a year. The rate of royalty is just one of the factors which the publisher has to take into account when computing the price of the book. A higher rate of royalty can mean a higher price and thus lower sales. So what matters to the author is not so much the rate of royalty as the ultimate rewards he may expect. The publisher can help to establish good relations with his author from the start by explaining how the rate of royalty has been worked out and what it means in terms of ultimate reward for the author's efforts.

What about advance payments to the author?

The offer of an advance <u>against royalties</u> is standard practice, and it is usually paid in two or three instalments; e.g. on signature of contract, delivery (or approval) of manuscript, and publication. The advance gives the author some immediate return for his work, encourages him to get on with the job, and reassures him of his publisher's good intentions. The actual amount of advance payment is negotiable between the two parties.

Is the author bound to supply illustrations?

Not unless this is specifically stated in the agreement, which it might be if the book is to be illustrated with photographs, in which case it must be clearly defined whether the payment of copyright fees is the responsibility of the author or the publishe. In the case of a technical work the author may well be asked to supply rough drawings of the diagrams and/or visual references for the artist to follow.

Does the author have any say in the design, illustration or production of the book?

These aspects of the publication of the book are normally left to the sole discretion of the publisher. But especially in the case of a technical work or where the publisher does not have a skilled editorial staff, the author should be invited to check and comment upon the illustrations, their positioning and the captions.

What other factors should an author bear in mind when discussing the contract?

- (a) The publisher should undertake to publish the work within a specified period (e.g. 12 or 18 months) after delivery or approval of the manuscript.
- (b) The author should be entitled to receive six free copies of the work on publication, and to buy further copies for his own use at trade terms.
- (c) In the event of the book going out of print and the publisher (after being given reasonable notice by the author) is unable or unwilling to issue a reprint, all residual rights (including that of publication) should revert to the author.
- (d) The regular royalty statement should specify how many copies of the book have been sold; it might also state how many remain in stock.

What further points should be borne in mind?

- (a) The author should undertake to deliver his manuscript on or before an agreed date.
- (b) No royalty will be paid on gratis copies or copies given away for review or exhibitions, or as aids to sales.
- (c) If at any time in the opinion of the publisher the book ceases to have a remunerative sale, he should be entitled to dispose of the stock in any way he chooses, paying the author a percentage of any money received for the stock. However, before doing so, he should make an offer of the stock to the author, and he should only proceed if this offer is not accepted within a short period.

- (d) The author should undertake that his work contains nothing that is libellous or violates copyright, and that he will hold the publisher blameless in the case of any claim or action in these respects.
- (e) The author shall pay for any alterations he makes to the work once it has been set in type above an agreed percentage of the cost of setting the original manuscript.
- (f) Assuming he is receiving a royalty, the author should be prepared, without further payment, to up-date or revise the work for subsequent editions.
- (g) The author should undertake to give first offer to the publisher of his next book of the same length and character.
- (h) The author should undertake not to publish any other work by him which might directly or indirectly affect the sales of the work which is the subject of the contract.

What happens if there should ultimately be a disagreement between the two parties over the interpretation of any point in the contract?

It is usual for the contract to include a clause to the effect that in the case of any disagreement the matter shall be referred to two independent arbitrators, one to be named by each party.

APPENDIX A

States which have Ratified or Acceded to the Berne and/or Universal Copyright Conventions as of 1 January 1976

Algeria (1) Andorra (1) Argentina (1,2) Australia (1,2) Austria (1,2) Bangladesh (1) Belgium (1,2) Brazil (1,2) Bulgaria (2) Cambodia (1) Cameroon (1,2) Canada (1,2) Chad (2) Chile (1,2) Congo (2) Costa Rica (1) Cuba (1) Cyprus (2) Czechoslovakia (1,2) Dahomey (2) Denmark (1,2) Ecuador (1) Fiji (1,2) Finland (1,2) France (1,2) Gabon (2) Germany: Democratic Republic (1,2) Germany: Federal Republic (1,2) Ghana (1) Greece (1,2) Guatemala (1) Haiti (1) Holy See (1,2) Hungary (1,2) Iceland (1,2) India (1,2) Ireland (1,2) Israel (1,2) Italy (1,2) Ivory Coast (2) Japan (1,2) Kenya (1) Laos (1) Lebanon (1,2) Liberia (1) Liechtenstein (1,2) Luxembourg (1,2) Madagascar (2) Malawi (1) Malta (1,2) Mauritania (2) Mauritius (1) Mexico (1,2) Monaco (1,2) Morocco (1,2) Netherlands (1,2) New Zealand (1,2) Nicaragua (1) Niger (2) Nigeria (1) Norway (1,2) Pakistan (1,2) Panama (1) Paraguay (1) Peru (1) Philippines (1,2) Poland (2) Portugal (1,2) Romania (2) Senegal (1,2) South Africa (2) Spain (1,2) Lanka (2) Sweden (1,2) Switzerland (1,2) Thailand (2) Tunisia (1,2) Turkey (2) USSR (1) United Kingdom (1.2) USA (1) Uruguay (2) Venezuela (1) Yugoslavia (1.2) Zaire (2) Zambia (1)

1 UCC (1952) 2 Berne

Note: Those states that are party to the UCC as revised in 1971 are listed on page 12.

APPENDIX B

COPYRIGHT INFORMATION CENTRES

INTERNATIONAL

International Copyright Information Centre, Unesco, Place de Fontenoy, 75700 Paris, FRANCE.

REGIONAL

Centro regional para el Fomento del Libro en América Latina, Calle 70 No. 9-52, Bogota, COLOMBIA.

Unesco Regional Centre for Book Development in Asia, 26/A, P.E.C.H. Society, Karachi 29, PAKISTAN.

NATIONAL

Australia Australian Copyright Council, 24 Alfred Street, Milsons Point, N.S.W., Australia 2061.

Belgium Centre national d'information sur le droit d'auteur, Fédération des Editeurs belges, 111 avenue du Parc, B-1060 Bruxelles.

Bulgaria Agence pour la protection des droits d'auteur, Pl. Slaveikov 11, Sofia.

Canada Canadian Copyright Institute, Suite 305, 8 King Street East, Toronto, Ontario M5C 1B5.

France Centre d'information sur le droit d'auteur, Hôtel du Cercle de la Librairie, 117 Boulevard Saint-Germain, 75279 Paris Cedex 06.

Federal Republic of Germany Urheberrechtsburo, Börsenverein des Deutschen Buchhandels E.V., Grosser Hirschgraben 17/21, 6 Frankfurt/Main 1.

German Democratic Republic Copyright Informations Zantrum der D.D.R., Krausenstrasse 9-10, 108 Berlin.

Hungary ARTISJUS, Szerzői Jogvédő Hivatal, Vörösmarty tér 1, Budapest V.

Israel International Promotion and Literary Rights Department, Book Publishers Association of Israel, 29 Carlebach Street, P. O. Box 1317, Tel Aviv.

Italy Centro Nazionale del Diritto d'Autore, Ufficio della Proprieta Litteraria, Artistica e Scientifica della Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri, Via Boncompagni 15, Roma.

Mexico Centro Nacional de Información sobre el Derecho de Autor, Mariano Escobedo 438, 6º piso, Mexico 5, D.F.

Spain Centro nacional de Información sobre el Derecho de Autor, I.N.L.E., Santiago Rusiñol 8, Madrid 3.

United Kingdom The National Clearing House of the United Kingdom, Book Development Council, 19 Bedford Square, London WC1B 3HJ.

United States of America International Copyrights Information Centre (INCINC), Association of American Publishers, 1920 L Street, NW, Washington D.C. 20036.

U.S.S.R. V.A.A.P., Agence soviétique pour les droits d'auteur, 6-a Bolchaia Bronnaia, Moscow 103-104.

A simple guide written in non-legal language for authors, editors, publishers and others in developing countries who want a brief outline of the main developments in international copyright in recent years, and a guide to publishing agreements

May be purchased from the Publications Section, Commonwealth Secretariat, Marlborough House, London SW1Y 5HX.

